

Presentation given at the INES side event on military involvement in science and technology at the Preparation Conference of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, Vienna, 30 April 2012

Thanks to Barnaby Pace for help in compiling this presentation.

We will discuss...

- Background on UK military R&D
- Recent changes
 - military sector cuts
 - green sector growth
- Work of Scientists for Global Responsibility





 \bullet UK military budget was \$62.7 bn in 2011 – world's 4 $^{\rm th}$ largest behind USA, China and Russia

• UK military spending per person: more than 2 times that of Russia; more than 10 times that of China

- UK spending per person/ per unit GDP is much larger than EU average
- UK is home to world's 2nd largest arms company BAE Systems
- UK is 5th largest arms exporter behind USA, Russia, Germany and France

Main references: Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (2012); Committees on Arms Export Controls (2011).



Current strategy in USA, UK and elsewhere is based on concept known as Revolution in Military Affairs (RMA)



• Spending figures from DASA (2011), Table 1.4 – more detailed figures on R&D are given later

• Atomic Weapons Establishment (AWE) at Aldermaston has been expanding – possibly to prepare itself for development of next generation of UK nuclear weapons

• Porton Down – chemical and biological weapons research – defence only as laid down in CW and BW treaties, but there is thin line between offence and defence work



• Military R&D is spending by Ministry of Defence – however it is claimed that a large fraction of Ministry of Defence R&D spending is on civilian projects. Nevertheless, these projects will complement priorities of MoD.

• In the last couple of years, health R&D spending has risen, while military R&D has fallen, so that they are now close.

• Private R&D spending (by arms companies) is smaller and less certain – around a few hundred million pounds (Langley, 2005)

• Further analysis is given later

BIS (2011). Tables 2.4 & 2.2.



• Often, government funds military R&D within industry and then purchases the resulting technology – effectively paying twice (Langley, 2005)

- Estimate of subsidy (Jackson, 2011)
- BAE Systems world's largest arms company following takeover of several US contractors
- Rolls Royce specialises in engines for ships, aircraft (2nd largest in UK)
- QinetiQ privatised government military labs (5th in UK)
- Aggressive lobbying sit on many influential advisory committees



• Government schemes run in conjunction with: Defence Science and Technology Labs (DSTL); Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC)

• ToEs and DARPs seem to have been discontinued

• References: Langley (2005); Langley et al (2007, 2008)

	UTC	DTC	DARP	ToE	
Bath				*	
Birmingham	*	**	*	*	
Bristol		*	***		
Brunel		*			
Cambridge	***	*	***		
Cardiff		*			
City			*		
Cranfield	*	**	***	***	
De Montfort		*			
Edinburgh		*			
Glasgow		*	**		
Glasgow Caledonian			*		
Heriot Watt		*			
Imperial College	*	*	****	***	
Leeds		*			
Leicester			*		
Loughborough	*		**	*	
Manchester	*		***		
Nottingham	**				
Oxford	**		**		
Sheffield	***	*		*	
Southampton	**	**	***	*	
St Andrews		*			
Strathclyde	*	*			
Surrey	*	*	*	*	
Sussex	*		*		
Swansea	*		*		
University College		*		**	
York	*		*		

• Data from Langley (2005)

• Research by SGR and others has yet to identify a UK university which does not receive any military funding (Langley et al, 2008)



• Leading arms companies have school education programmes, including Atomic Weapons Establishment

- BAE Systems is leading provider of UK engineering apprenticeships
- Langley et al (2007)



• Top 5 categories (all above £100m) for 2009-10 (MoD, 2012a)

• Other areas of interest include cyber-security, robotic aircraft, body armour, chemical/biological/radiological/nuclear defence, communications systems, emerging technologies etc (e.g. MoD, 2012b)

• In public relations, the 'life-saving' contribution of military R&D projects is often emphasised, e.g de-mining, although in practice this is a small proportion.



New facilities installed in recent years – details:

- Supercomputers (Blue Oak, Larch etc) simulation of nuclear explosion
- Orion Laser small-scale simulation of nuclear detonation, e.g. fusion and boosting
- Materials testing laboratory to study behaviour of nuclear weapons components

New joint research centres with France – as part of 2010 Teutates agreement

• Joint radiographic/ hydrodynamics facilities – Teutates EPURE at Valduc, France, and Teutates Technological Development Centre at AWE, UK

Claimed not to be connected to development of new nuclear warheads, but many doubts remain, especially regarding whether they undermine the Nuclear Non-proliferation Treaty and Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty.

Sources: AWE annual reports and other related documents. http://www.awe.co.uk/ MoD (2012a) Nicholls (2011) Photo: Trident nuclear missile



UK situation

• Drones initially deployed for reconnaissance, but from 2007 the UK began deploying (US-made) armed 'Predator' drones in Afghanistan. By the end of 2011, the RAF had carried out over 200 drone strikes.

- UK collaboration with Israeli military and arms industry to deploy and develop drones
- BAE Systems developing two armed drones: Mantis and Taranis
- 10 UK universities involved in R&D on drones (FLAVIIR programme)

Ethical issues

- Expansion of 'battlespace'
 - 'Illegal' CIA use in civilian areas (e.g. Pakistan)
 - Pilots not in combat zone so temptation to deploy more frequently
 - High risk of civilian casualties
- Serious arms proliferation risk small drones, especially, are also a potential terrorist weapon
- Industry is developing the potential for them to act autonomously

Drone Wars UK (2012); Langley et al (2008) Photo: BAE Mantis A shift away from military research and development?



- 1. There is not enough consideration of the proliferation risks that come with the development of new military technologies especially when exports are involved.
- 2. Some comparisons are given in the next slide.
- 3. This was highlighted by, for example, Langley et al (2008).



Figures in US\$ (purchasing power parity)

Military spend – Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) countries (AAAS, 2008)

Health & environment (mainly health) – OECD countries (AAAS, 2008)

Renewable energy – International Energy Agency (IEA) countries (IEA, 2008) – i.e. OECD minus 4 countries

More recent data - especially from USA - indicates gap is closing slowly



Ministry of Defence (2010); Cameron (2010); HM Government (2010)



In mid-1980s, MoD R&D budget was about half of total public R&D budget Reference: BIS (2011). Tables 2.2 & A2.2



Figures in graph include demonstration phase, so R&D on its own is less. IEA (2012)



Figures from: Renewable Energy Association (2012)



- Entitled 'National security through technology'
- Research spending to be set at 1.2% of total MoD budget

MoD (2012b)



• Non-Offensive Defence – focus on narrowly-defined defence (national territory, peacekeeping); decommission weapons systems that can be used for large-scale attack, eg nuclear weapons, aircraft carriers, long-range bombers/ missiles/ warships (Civilisation 3000, 2010)

• Sustainable Security – focus on tackling the roots of conflict such as resource depletion, militarisation, climate change (Abbott et al, 2006)

Key changes needed

- Greater transparency over military R&D spend
- Cutting military R&D to very low levels
- Focus military R&D on supporting disarmament activities
- A ban on R&D which is aimed at supporting war-fighting capability from universities
- More funding of environment/ social R&D which can help tackle roots of armed conflict

Examples:

Cutting military R&D to low levels: e.g. less than 5% total government R&D

- Focus military R&D on supporting disarmament activities: e.g. effective weapons decommissioning, monitoring to prevent diversion of 'dual-use' technologies
- A ban on war-fighting R&D at universities: e.g. 'civil' (peace) clauses

More env/ social R&D: e.g. Focus on improving energy security/ food security, mitigating/ adapting to climate change



Other SGR activities include education work – including presentations to academics, peace campaigners, and students; articles in specialists media etc – and advocacy work with SGR members and other campaign groups on issues related to military involvement in R&D (for example, see next slide)

Reports listed in references



BBC News online (2010)

References (p1)

AAAS (2008). Health/Environment and Defense Government R&D by Nation, 2007. August 2008. American Association for Advancement of Science. http://www.aaas.org/spp/rd/guiintl.shtml
Abbott C, Rogers P, Sloboda J (2006). Global Responses to global threats: Sustainable security for the 21st century. Oxford Research Group. http://www.oxfordresearchgroup.org.uk/publications/briefing_papers/global_responses_global_threats_sustainable_security_21st_century
BBC News online (2010). Scientists call for defence cuts. 13 October. http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-11529367

- BIS (2011). SET Statistics: Science, Engineering and Technology Indicators 2011. 18 November. Dept. of Business, Innovation and Skills. http://www.bis.gov.uk/policies/science/sciencefunding/set-stats
- Committees on Arms Export Controls (2011). Scrutiny of Arms Export Controls (2011). First Joint Report of Session 2010–11. House of Commons.
- Cameron D (2010). Statement on Strategic Defence and Security Review. 19 October. http://www.number10.gov.uk/news/statements-and-articles/2010/10/sdsr-55912
- Civilisation 3000 (2010). http://civilisation3000.wordpress.com/some-relevant-articles-and-reports-1982-2007/
- DASA (2011). UK Defence Statistics 2011. Defence Analytical Services and Advice. http://www.dasa.mod.uk/ukds.pdf
- Drone Wars UK (2012). Drone Wars briefing. January. http://dronewarsuk.wordpress.com/
- HM Government (2010). A Strong Britain in an Age of Uncertainty: The National Security Strategy. http://www.direct.gov.uk/nationalsecuritystrategy

References (p2)

- IEA (International Energy Agency) (2012). IEA online energy database. http://www.iea.org/Textbase/stats/rd.asp
- Jackson S (2011). SIPRI assessment of UK arms export subsidies for CAAT. Campaign Against Arms Trade paper. 25 May.

Langley C (2005). Soldiers in the Laboratory: military involvement in science and technology – and some alternatives. Scientists for Global Responsibility. http://www.sgr.org.uk/publications/soldiers-laboratory

Langley C, Parkinson S, Webber P (2007). More Soldiers in the Laboratory: the militarisation of science and technology – an update. Scientists for Global Responsibility. http://www.sgr.org.uk/publications/more-soldiers-laboratory

Langley C, Parkinson S, Webber P (2008). Behind Closed Doors: military influence, commercial pressures and the compromised university. Scientists for Global Responsibility. http://www.sgr.org.uk/publications/behind-closed-doors

Langley C, Parkinson S (2009). Science and the Corporate Agenda: the detrimental effects of the commercial influence on science and technology. Scientists for Global Responsibility. http://www.sgr.org.uk/publications/science-and-corporate-agenda

Ministry of Defence (2010). Securing Britain in an Age of Uncertainty: The Strategic Defence and Security Review. http://www.direct.gov.uk/sdsr

Ministry of Defence (2012a). Freedom of Information request by Scientists for Global Responsibility. March.

References (p3)

Ministry of Defence (2012b). National Security through Technology: Technology, Equipment, and Support for UK Defence and Security. February. Cm 8278. http://www.mod.uk/DefenceInternet/AboutDefence/CorporatePublications/PolicyStrategyan dPlanning/NationalSecurityThroughTechnologyCm8278.htm

Nicholls P (2011). New Anglo-French nuclear weapons treaties threaten disarmament. SGR Newsletter, no. 40 (autumn). http://www.sgr.org.uk/publications/sgr-newsletter-40

Renewable Energy Association (2012). Renewable Energy: Made in Britain. http://www.r-ea.net/resources/pdf/61/Renewable_Energy_-_Made_in_Britain_Executive_Summary.pdf

Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (2012). Background paper on SIPRI military expenditure data, 2011. 17 April. http://www.sipri.org/